May 22, 2024

The Honorable Gary Gensler  
Chair  
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission  
100 F Street NE  
Washington, DC 20549  

Chair Gensler:  

On March 26, 2024, 48 members of the House Committees on Agriculture and Financial Services wrote to you expressing concerns with an announcement that Prometheus was intending to provide custody services for Ethereum’s token, Ether (ETH), through its subsidiary, Prometheus Ember Capital LLC (Prometheus Capital), a Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) approved Special Purpose Broker-Dealer (SPBD).  

In your reply, dated April 9, 2024, you indicated that you could not respond specifically to certain matters raised in our letter, emphasizing “[you were] not privy to any details of Prometheus’ future business plans, including whether and under which conditions Prometheus Capital might take actual steps to custody ETH.”  

Earlier this week, reports indicated that Prometheus Capital has “soft launched” its ETH custody services for certain select clients. Now that Prometheus’s “future business plans” are its current business plans and it has taken actual steps to custody ETH, we are resubmitting our previous letter to you on behalf of our committee members for your prompt response. To the extent you are still unable to respond specifically to certain matters raised in the letter, please indicate specifically which matters those are and why you cannot respond.  

We look forward to your response no later than June 7, 2024.  

Sincerely,  

Glenn “GT” Thompson  
Chairman  
House Committee on Agriculture  

Patrick McHenry  
Chairman  
House Committee on Financial Services
Enclosures:
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March 26, 2024

The Honorable Gary Gensler
Chair
U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission
100 F Street NE
Washington, DC 20549

Chair Gensler:

We write to express our concerns regarding Prometheum, Inc.’s (Prometheum) recent announcement that its subsidiary, Prometheum Ember Capital LLC (Prometheum Capital), a Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) approved Special Purpose Broker-Dealer (SPBD), will provide custody services for Ethereum’s token, Ether (ETH), later this month to institutional clients. In particular, we are concerned by the lack of transparency in the Securities and Exchange Commission’s (SEC) SPBD regime and the SEC’s failure to address Prometheum’s intent to custody an asset that the SEC and the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) have recognized as a non-security digital asset. We urge you to clarify the SEC’s position with respect to a SPBD’s ability to custody non-securities, willingness to address SPBD non-compliance, regulatory classification of ETH, and position regarding Prometheum’s announcement.

As you are aware, the agencies have an extensive public record identifying ETH as a non-security digital asset. There are multiple regulatory actions grounded in that position. Yet now, we are faced with an alarming scenario in which a SPBD has announced that it intends to offer custodial services for ETH under a regime that does not permit such activity. This action, if allowed to proceed, could have irreparable consequences for the digital asset markets.

Lack of Clarity in Identifying Digital Asset Securities

Despite your insistence that most digital assets are “digital asset securities,” that term continues to be undefined. Other regulators, intermediaries, and market participants disagree with your assertions, and have struggled to identify which digital assets are digital asset securities. Moreover, the SEC’s failure to propose a rule or provide comprehensive guidance that provides clear rules for the digital asset marketplace regarding asset classification has only exacerbated the uncertainty in the digital asset ecosystem. Compounding the uncertainty, the SEC has

---

engaged in multiple enforcement actions, accusing certain digital asset trading platforms of failing to register as brokers, clearing agencies, and national securities exchanges (NSE) because they are transacting in digital asset securities.²

**Treatment of ETH**

Both the SEC and the CFTC have long-recognized ETH as a non-security digital asset or digital commodity. In 2018, SEC staff announced that current offers and sales of ETH were not securities transactions.³ In October 2023, the SEC approved nine exchange traded funds (ETF) that provide exposure to ETH through CFTC-regulated ETH commodity futures products.⁴ Each of the SEC-approved ETFs approved reference ETH as a commodity.⁵

The CFTC permits CFTC registered commodity derivatives exchanges to list multiple ETH futures contracts and continues to pursue numerous enforcement actions involving the trading of ETH.⁶ Additionally, Chairman Behnam has repeatedly articulated his position that ETH is a digital commodity subject to the jurisdiction of the CFTC. Based on the CFTC’s and the SEC’s actions regarding ETH, market participants have entered into ETH futures contracts on CFTC-registered commodity derivatives exchanges to hedge ETH risk or to gain exposure to ETH.⁷

Despite this history recognizing ETH as a non-security digital asset, you have consistently refused to acknowledge that ETH is not a security. In your March 2023 testimony before the House Committee on Financial Services you declined to answer multiple questions about whether ETH should be considered a commodity. Your unwillingness to clarify the treatment of ETH only exacerbates the confusion and uncertainty regarding ETH’s classification as demonstrated by the Prometheus announcement.

² The SEC has brought multiple enforcement actions against digital asset trading platforms, claiming they are operating as unregistered national securities exchanges, brokers, and clearing agencies. See e.g., Compl., SEC v. Coinbase, Inc., No. 1:23-cv-04738 (S.D.N.Y. Jun. 6, 2023); see also, Compl., SEC v. Payward, Inc., No. 3:23-cv-06003 (N.D. Cal. Nov. 20, 2023). Available at: https://www.sec.gov/spotlight/cybersecurity-enforcement-actions.
⁵ See e.g., NASDAQ, A proposal to list and trade the shares of the Hashdex Nasdaq Ethereum ETF under Nasdaq Rule 5711(d) 4, 17 (Sep. 11, 2023), https://listingcenter.nasdaq.com/assets/rulebook/nasdaq/filings/SR-NASDAQ-2023-035.pdf. (“The Sponsor is registered as a commodity pool operator with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.”); “CME began offering trading in Ether Futures Contracts in 2021, and each of the contract’s final cash settlement is based on the CME CF Ether Dollar Reference Rate. The contracts trade and settle like other cash-settled commodity futures contracts.”)
⁶ See e.g., Compl., CFTC v. Ooki DAO, No. 3:22-cv-5416 ¶ 52 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 9, 2022) (Virtual currencies such as ETH, DAI, and others traded on the Ooki Protocol are ‘commodities’ under the Act.”). Available at: https://www.cftc.gov/media/7681/enfookicomplaint092222/download.
SEC Digital Asset Security Approach

Your unwillingness to identify which digital assets are so-called digital asset securities has sown confusion even for SEC regulated entities. The SEC established temporary frameworks for broker-dealers to engage with digital asset securities both to facilitate trading as an alternative trading system (ATS) and to provide custodial services which were in place well before your arrival. In September 2020, the SEC’s Division of Trading and Markets issued a no-action letter (NAL) to FINRA establishing a framework for a registered broker-dealer to operate an ATS that trades digital asset securities, under certain conditions.8

In December 2020, the SEC issued a policy statement and requested comment regarding the custody of digital asset securities by broker-dealers (SPBD Statement).9 The SPBD Statement provides SPBDs relief for five years from enforcement actions on the basis of violations of the SEC’s Customer Protection Rule with respect to their custody of digital asset securities, so long as certain conditions are met.10 Importantly, the relief is conditioned specifically on the SPBD only custodying digital asset securities.11 It does not allow a SPBD to custody non-security digital assets.

According to the SPBD Statement, SPBDs must establish, maintain, and enforce written policies and procedures.12 These policies and procedures ensure that the SPBD’s business is limited to digital asset securities and that all such digital asset securities are transacted in compliance with the applicable securities laws. These written procedures are used to justify whether the digital asset security is “offered and sold pursuant to an effective registration statement or an available exemption from registration,” prior to conducting business in such digital asset security.13

Prometheum

In October 2022, Prometheum’s ATS, Prometheum Ember ATS (Prometheum ATS), launched.14 At the time, Prometheum ATS stated that it would provide trading for digital assets including Flow, Filecoin, The Graph, Compound, and Celo.15

---

10 Id.
11 Id. (“The broker-dealer limits its business to dealing in, effecting transactions in, maintaining custody of, and/or operating an alternative trading system for digital asset securities.”)
12 Id.
13 Id.
15 Id.
In May 2023, Prometheum Capital obtained FINRA approval to act as a SPBD, becoming the first and still only FINRA approved SPBD. As a SPBD, Prometheum Capital’s activities are limited to digital asset securities. It is not authorized to custody traditional securities or non-security digital assets on behalf of customers.

In order for Prometheum Capital to make its announcement that it will custody ETH and remain in compliance with the SEC’s SPBD regime, Prometheum Capital should have determined that ETH is a digital asset security and that the offer and sale of ETH is either registered as a security or qualifies for an exemption. However, ETH has not been registered as a security with the SEC, nor has the SEC indicated that the offer or sale of ETH satisfies the requirements of any exemption. Thus, it remains unclear how Prometheum intends to offer certain digital assets through its ATS or to implement procedures congruent with the SPBD framework. To date, Prometheum Capital has not provided any SPBD custodial services nor has Prometheum ATS operated as an ATS.

Implication to the Futures Markets

The regulatory treatment of ETH is not solely a matter of importance to the SEC, it directly implicates the CFTC and the commodity futures markets, as well. If the SEC determines that ETH is a digital asset security, CFTC registered commodity derivative exchanges may no longer be able to list and offer ETH Futures for trading as commodity futures products. The consequences of exchanges no longer offering ETH derivatives could have significant implications for existing ETH market participants. Not only would market participants lose access to an essential risk management tool, but such an action could also imperil the existing approved ETFs and result in significant price dislocation across the ETH market. More problematic, if the SEC determines ETH to be a digital asset security, then existing CFTC registered entities and registrants are potentially violating securities laws by offering security futures products absent registration pursuant to the security futures framework.

The negative repercussions of the SEC implicitly or directly classifying ETH as a digital asset security will cascade throughout the digital asset marketplace both in the short and long term. The immediate impact on the ETH commodity derivatives markets is apparent. However, the broader implications for the digital asset markets may be that absent legislation, there will never be regulatory certainty upon which one can offer digital asset derivatives in the United States. This would have a chilling effect on U.S. digital asset markets, to the detriment of Americans who benefit from the robust U.S. digital asset markets and federal regulation of those markets.

For the reasons stated above, please respond in writing to the following:

1. The SEC has used the following terms to describe digital assets: crypto tokens, crypto security tokens, crypto assets, crypto asset securities, digital asset securities, and digital asset securities that are investment contracts. Please provide definitions for each term.

---

17 See infra note 11.
and explain how they differ from one another.

2. Has the SEC engaged in any discussions with the CFTC regarding whether ETH is a digital asset security or the impact of ETH being considered a security on the commodity derivatives markets? Please provide the dates, the participants, and the substance of those discussions, and provide copies of any draft or final documents, including agenda, related to those discussions.

3. In a June 2022 interview, you reportedly announced that the SEC was working with the CFTC to establish a memorandum of understanding to create a “formal deal to ensure that trading in digital tokens had adequate safeguards and transparency.” Please provide the dates, the participants, and the substance of those discussions, and provide copies of any draft or final documents between the SEC and the CFTC.

4. Is ETH a digital asset security?

5. If ETH is a digital asset security, please answer the following additional questions:
   
   a. How and when did the SEC determine that ETH was a digital asset security? Please provide a comprehensive analysis.
   
   b. The SPBD regime requires an effective registration statement or an exemption from registration. Please explain how the SEC views ETH’s compliance with this requirement.
   
   c. In addition to registration under Section 5 or qualifying for an exemption from registration, is ETH required to also be registered under Section 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934?
   
   d. Has the SEC determined that ETH can be resold pursuant to Rule 144? If so, please provide the analysis.
   
   e. Does the SEC believe that CFTC-registered commodity derivatives exchanges and futures commission merchants are violating the federal securities laws by continuing to offer and facilitate trades in ETH derivatives? If so, has the SEC or the CFTC communicated this position to those entities?
   
   f. A contract for the future delivery of a single security is a security future and subject to a joint SEC-CFTC oversight regime. Does the SEC believe that ETH is eligible to underly a security future? If so, will the SEC work with the CFTC to jointly designate ETH as a valid underlying security for a security futures contract prior to Prometheum Capital custodying the asset?
   
   g. In recent enforcement actions against digital asset trading platforms that offer ETH for trading, the SEC has failed to identify ETH as a security. Please provide the rationale why the SEC has not referenced ETH in its complaints against those trading platforms. Does the SEC plan on amending its complaints in those actions to include allegations that the platforms offer trading of ETH in contravention of

---

the securities laws?

6. If ETH is not a digital asset security, please answer the following additional questions:
   a. Has the SEC revised the SPBD Statement to permit the custody of non-security digital assets? If so, when and how was this information communicated to the public and Prometheum? Please provide a detailed analysis of how the SEC reached this conclusion.
   b. If the SEC has not revised the SPBD Statement, will the Commission prohibit Prometheum Capital from providing custody of ETH, in violation of the SPBD Statement?

There are significant challenges for those navigating the digital asset regulatory regime. The current digital asset regulatory landscape presents various risks to consumers, investors, and market participants. Allowing one market participant and regulation by enforcement to dictate the future of digital asset regulation is unacceptable. This is precisely why Congress is working to set guidelines for firms that participate in the digital asset markets. We appreciate your attention to these critical matters and look forward to your response no later than April 9, 2024.

Sincerely,

Patrick McHenry
Chairman
House Committee on Financial Services

Glenn “GT” Thompson
Chairman
House Committee on Agriculture

French Hill
Member of Congress

Dusty Johnson
Member of Congress

Tom Emmer
Member of Congress

Warren Davidson
Member of Congress

Frank D. Lucas
Member of Congress

Austin Scott
Member of Congress
Pete Sessions
Member of Congress

Doug LaMalfa
Member of Congress

Bill Posey
Member of Congress

David Rouzer
Member of Congress

Blaine Luetkemeyer
Member of Congress

Don Bacon
Member of Congress

Bill Huizenga
Member of Congress

Mike Bost
Member of Congress

Ann Wagner
Member of Congress

Tracey Mann
Member of Congress

Andy Barr
Member of Congress

Randy Feenstra
Member of Congress

Roger Williams
Member of Congress

Barry Moore
Member of Congress

Barry Loudermilk
Member of Congress

Kat Cammack
Member of Congress
cc:  The Honorable Rostin Behnam, CFTC Chairman  
    Robert W. Cook, President and CEO, Financial Industry Regulatory Authority  
    Thomas W. Sexton, CEO, National Futures Association
April 9, 2024

The Honorable Patrick McHenry
Chairman
Committee on Financial Services
U.S. House of Representatives
2129 Rayburn House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

The Honorable Glenn Thompson
Chairman
Committee on Agriculture
U.S. House of Representatives
1301 Longworth House Office Building
Washington, DC 20515

Dear Chairman McHenry and Chairman Thompson:

Thank you for your letter dated March 26, 2024, concerning Prometheum, Inc.’s (Prometheum) recent announcement that its subsidiary, Prometheum Ember Capital LLC (Prometheum Capital), a Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (FINRA) approved Special Purpose Broker-Dealer (SPBD), will provide custody services for Ethereum’s token, Ether (ETH), to institutional clients.

In December 2020, the Commission issued the statement and request for comment entitled Custody of Digital Asset Securities by Special Purpose Broker-Dealers (Crypto Asset Securities Custody Release).1 In the Crypto Asset Securities Custody Release, the Commission expressed certain concerns regarding the custody of crypto asset securities and the potential ramifications that would result from the loss or theft of crypto asset securities. The period in which the statement and request for comment is in effect will provide the Commission and its staff an opportunity to gain additional insight into the evolving standards and best practices with respect to custody of crypto asset securities. During this five-year period, the Commission will continue to evaluate its position on an ongoing basis and will consider comments to inform any future rulemaking or other Commission action in this area.

Prometheum Capital has announced that FINRA granted it approval to operate as a special purpose broker-dealer. Before doing business with the public, a broker-dealer generally must become a FINRA member by submitting a new member application to FINRA’s Membership Application Program (MAP). FINRA’s review of a broker-dealer’s new member application is an extensive process. It serves as the gatekeeper to the broker-dealer industry and works to protect investors by ensuring that new firms meet FINRA’s standards of admission. The MAP process’s ultimate goal is to ensure that each applicant is capable of conducting its business in compliance with applicable rules and regulations, and that its business practices are consistent with just and equitable principles of trade, as required by FINRA rules.

---

We are aware that Prometheum recently announced plans for Prometheum Capital to provide custodial services for Ethereum’s ETH token sometime in the future. I am not privy to any details of Prometheum’s future business plans, including whether and under which conditions Prometheum Capital might take actual steps to custody ETH. Accordingly, I cannot respond specifically to certain matters raised in your letter.

Please contact me at (202) 551-2100, or have your staff contact Kevin Burris, Director of the Office of Legislative and Intergovernmental Affairs, at (202) 551-2010 if you have any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Gary Gensler
Chair

Enclosure: Carbon Copy List
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Party</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Mark Alford</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Don Bacon</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Andy Barr</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Mike Bost</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Kat Cammack</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Lori Chavez-DeRemer</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Warren Davidson</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Monica De La Cruz</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Byron Donalds</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Tom Emmer</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Randy Feenstra</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Brad Finstad</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Scott Fitzgerald</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Mike Flood</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Andrew Garbarino</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable J. Hill</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Erin Houchin</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Bill Huizenga</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Ronny Jackson</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Dusty Johnson</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Young Kim</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Doug LaMalfa</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Nicholas Langworthy</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Michael Lawler</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Barry Loudermilk</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Frank Lucas</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Blaine Luetkemeyer</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Tracey Mann</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Daniel Meuser</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Honorable Max Miller</td>
<td>U.S. House of Representatives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Honorable Marcus Molinaro  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Alexander Mooney  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Barry Moore  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ralph Norman  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Zachary Nunn  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Andrew Ogles  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bill Posey  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable John Rose  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable David Rouzer  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Austin Scott  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Pete Sessions  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Bryan Steil  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable William Timmons  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Derrick Van Orden  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Ann Wagner  
U.S. House of Representatives

The Honorable Roger Williams  
U.S. House of Representatives