September 5, 2019

Memorandum

To: Members, Committee on Financial Services

From: FSC Majority Staff

Subject: September 10, 2019, hearing entitled, “A $1.5 Trillion Crisis: Protecting Student Borrowers and Holding Student Loan Servicers Accountable”

The Committee on Financial Services will hold a hearing entitled, “A $1.5 Trillion Crisis: Protecting Student Borrowers and Holding Student Loan Servicers Accountable,” on Tuesday, September 10, 2019, at 10:00 a.m. in room 2128 of the Rayburn House Office Building. This will be a one-panel hearing with the following witnesses:

- Seth Frotman, Executive Director, Student Borrower Protection Center
- Persis Yu, Staff Attorney, National Consumer Law Center
- Ashley Harrington, Senior Policy Counsel, Center for Responsible Lending
- Hasan Minhaj, Writer, Producer, and Host
- Jason Delisle, Resident Fellow, American Enterprise Institute

Additional witnesses may be invited.

Overview

According to the Federal Reserve, Americans owe more than $1.6 trillion in student loan debt,\(^1\) which is more than any consumer debt category other than mortgage debt, including auto loans ($1.17 trillion)\(^2\) and credit card debt ($868 billion).\(^3\) An estimated 44.7 million people carry student loan debt averaging $32,731.\(^4\) Since 2008, student loan balances increased by roughly $1 trillion.\(^5\) This ballooning rate of student loan debt can be attributed, in part, to the rising real price of public higher education and stagnant household incomes. The real, after-inflation average cost to attend a four-year public institution rose 48.1% between 2003 and 2017 which corresponds to an increase in the real, after-inflation accumulated amount of student debt of 307% in the same time period.\(^6\) A student enrolling at a four-year public institution would expect to pay roughly $10,674 in current dollars in annual tuition, as opposed to $19,488 in 2016.\(^7\) Between 1989 and 2016, the cost of attending a four-year university increased on average 2.6% per year, whereas the average annual wage growth was only 0.3%, per year.\(^8\)

---

\(^1\) Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB), \textit{Consumer Credit – G.19}, June 2019 \(\text{released Aug. 7, 2019}\).

\(^2\) \textit{Id.}


\(^5\) In the first quarter of 2008, total student debt was $580 billion. See FRBNY, \textit{Quarterly Report on Household Debt and Credit, 2008:Q1}.


Taken together, the cost to attend a four-year university increased almost 8 times faster than wages between 1989 and 2016. As a result, the student loan debt burden is now the equivalent of 7.6% of GDP, more than double since 2006, with far reaching consequences for the U.S. economy. Individuals with student loan debt are delaying marriage and family formation, despite the economic benefits conferred by marriage. With average monthly loan payments of $393, student loan debt also hampers small business growth. A 2015 Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia study found a significant negative correlation between student loan debt and small business formation. According to recent data from the Federal Reserve, rising student loan debt has contributed to the overall decline in homeownership among individuals ages 24 to 32 years old. Finally, student loan debt is jeopardizing the short- and long-term financial health of households by preventing young people from accumulating wealth or saving for retirement. Sixty-five percent of student loan borrowers surveyed report having less than $1,000 in their bank account and 80% of respondents report that the burden of student debt has prevented them from saving for retirement.

In 2018, 20% of those in repayment for their student loans were behind on payments. In the first quarter of 2019, 10.9% of aggregate student debt was at 90+ days delinquent or in default. Borrowers who did not complete their degree, are first-generation college students, or are Black or Hispanic are more likely to be behind. Falling behind on student loan payments creates significant financial hardship, by lowering credit scores, increasing the cost of credit, and limiting access to credit. Unlike other types of debt, student loans are dischargeable in bankruptcy only upon a showing that repayment would impose an undue hardship on borrowers and their dependents. Further, the burden of student loan debt is not borne equally: Black students take on 85% more debt than White students, a disparity that only worsens post-graduation. Fifteen years after leaving college Black borrowers will have 185% more student loan debt than White borrowers. This higher debt load results in higher default rates: nearly half of all Black borrowers default within 12 years of entering college, compared to 36% for Hispanic borrowers and 21% for White borrowers.

Building on the Committee’s oversight of consumer financial protections for student loan borrowers, this hearing will further explore these issues as well as discuss potential legislative remedies to increase protections for student loan borrowers.

---
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Borrower Repayment Challenges Due to Student Loan Servicing Errors

Student loan servicers are the primary point of contact for borrowers repaying private student loans and Federal Direct Student Loans (commonly referred to as Direct Loans), which is the sole government backed loan program since 2010. Student loan servicers are responsible for engaging with borrowers experiencing difficulties making their payments, including providing information about, and managing enrollment in, alternative payment options such as Income-Driven Repayment payment plans (IDR), the Public Service Loan Forgiveness Program (PSLF), deferment, and forbearance. Student loan borrowers with Direct Loans do not choose their student loan servicer, rather the Department of Education assigns the loan to a servicer after disbursement. In certain situations, including if a borrower has filed notice that he or she plans to participate in the PSLF program, the borrowers’ loans will automatically be transferred to FedLoan Servicing, a branch of the Pennsylvania Higher Education Assistance Agency (PHEAA).

The Department of Education Office of Inspector General (OIG), U.S. Government Accountability Office (GAO), Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (Consumer Bureau), and consumer groups have documented widespread student loan servicing failures. A 2017 Annual Report from the Consumer Bureau Student Loan Ombudsman found that 71% of the approximately 12,900 federal student loan complaints handled by the Consumer Bureau between August 2016 and September 2017 were issues related to dealing with the lender or servicer. A 2018 survey of student loan borrowers found that 35% of respondents reported difficulty in accessing information about their loans and repayment status, 59% experienced unclear guidance about their repayment option from their loan servicer, and 42% had trouble negotiating a different repayment plan or amount when facing unexpected financial hardship. These complaints are reinforced by a 2019 OIG Report revealed that Federal Student Aid (FSA) monthly monitoring reports of Direct Loan servicers from January 2015 through September 2017 found that servicers were not adequately informing borrowers struggling to make payments about their available repayment options.

Borrowers attempting to enroll in alternative repayment plans such IDR and PSLF have complained about lengthy delays and provision of inaccurate or not timely information on eligibility and recertification requirements leading to improper denials. A 2016 Consumer Bureau report noted that servicers’ failure to timely approve IDR applications could result in significant harm to borrowers, stating, “[b]orrower complaints note that IDR applications may take weeks or months to process . . . Furthermore, when borrowers’ enrollment in IDR is delayed, they may be subject to otherwise avoidable harms, such as increased loan balances and loss of benefits.”

Servicers are also not providing timely and accurate information to borrowers seeking to qualify for PSLF. Current law does not entitle borrowers to receive from their servicers the detailed payment history that is a prerequisite to loan forgiveness. Borrowers first became eligible for loan forgiveness under PSLF in September 2017, ten years after the program began. As of March 31, 2019, the Department of
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27 Federal Student Aid, Loan Servicers, (last accessed Aug. 5, 2019).
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32 Id. at 18.
Education has only approved 518 of the 73,554 borrowers who applied for PSLF and discharged only approximately $30 million in student loans. The most common reason for rejecting a PSLF application was that the borrower did not provide proof of having made the requisite number of qualifying payments. Rejection rates remain extreme. Forbes reported that 99 percent of people who apply to the PSLF program are still rejected.

**Challenges faced by Private Student Loan Borrowers and their Co-signers**

In 2019, $123 billion in private student loans comprised 7.7% of the $1.6 trillion in outstanding balances for the entire student loan market. Similar to federal student loans, servicing issues and a comparative lack of consumer protections for private student loans remain an area of concern. For example, in its 2017 Annual report of the CFPB Student Loan Ombudsman, the Consumer Bureau found that private student loan borrowers report limited options to modify their payments during periods of financial distress. The Consumer Bureau stated that it had found that borrowers with private student loans “may face additional struggles during times of economic hardship when they are unable to obtain a student loan payment that they can afford. . . [P]rivate student loan borrowers complained that when they experienced short-term inability to pay, such as unpaid parental leave or employment furloughs, they struggled to temporarily modify their payments until their income was restored due to lack of available options.”

Additionally, legal protections available for federal student loan borrowers may not be available for private student loan borrowers or their co-signers. Private loan cancellations are not required by law and private loan borrowers do not have the same range of cancellation options as federal student loans, including for those with permanent disability. Private student lenders are not required by law to work with borrowers with permanent disabilities, however, some private lenders are now offering disability discharges. Private student loans often require a co-signer and, according to a 2015 Consumer Bureau Report, servicers rejected 90% of private student loan borrowers who applied for co-signer release. When a co-signer release is unfairly denied, they can suffer from damage to their credit and be forced into credit products with higher rates. Moreover, while federal student loans are not subject to pre-dispute arbitration and class waiver provisions, such provisions are common with private student loans. Student loan servicers also harm borrowers by engaging in abusive debt collection practices and lawsuits and inaccurate credit reporting.
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Legislative Proposals

- **Student Loan Servicing Reform and Consumer Protection Act** is a discussion draft that amends the Truth in Lending Act (TILA) to help struggling student borrowers by (1) requiring servicers to provide accurate repayment options and resources, (2) setting minimum industry standards for all student loan transactions, (3) creating clear “rules of the road” to prevent student loan servicers from omitting or misrepresenting loan serving information, and (4) improving disclosures to borrowers when their loan is sold or transferred or if the borrower is identified as at-risk of default. The bill is similar to S. 1354, the Student Loan Borrower Bill of Rights sponsored by Sen. Dick Durbin (D-IL).

- **Fair Credit Reporting for Student Loans Act** is a discussion draft that would require the Consumer Bureau, in consultation with the Department of Education, to establish standards for reporting credit information about borrowers’ student loans and provides consumers with tools to enforce these rights.

- **Fair Student Loan Debt Collection Practices Act** is a discussion draft that would amend the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (FDCPA) to, among other things, bar collecting against student loan borrowers meeting the zero dollar “payment” income guidelines. This builds on a recent court case that would allow the Department of Education to stop using private debt collectors. ⁴⁵

- **Private Loan Disability Discharge Act** is legislation sponsored by Rep. Madeleine Dean (D-PA) that would amend TILA to include a required discharge of private student loans in the event a borrower becomes permanently disabled. Co-signers would also be discharged of their obligation in the event of a borrower’s permanent disability.

- **Ensuring Fair Legal Recourse for Private Student Loan Borrowers Act** is legislation sponsored by Rep. Dean that would amend TILA to prohibit pre-dispute arbitration clauses and class action bans for private student loans.

- **H.R. 2833, the CFPB Student Loan Integrity & Transparency Act** is a bill sponsored by Rep. Katie Porter (D-CA) that would strengthen Consumer Bureau’s oversight of student lending by (1) requiring information sharing on student loan data between Consumer Bureau student loan ombudsman and the Department of Education, (2) prohibiting the Department of Education from entering into student loan service agreement unless servicer directly provides information to Consumer Bureau student loan ombudsman and (3) requiring the Consumer Bureau Director to maintain adequate staffing and resource levels for ombudsman to execute functions of office.

- **Know Before You Owe Private Education Loan Act** is a discussion draft that would amend TILA to require schools to counsel students before they sign on to expensive, often unnecessary, private education loan debt and inform them of any unused federal student aid eligibility. This bill would also require the prospective borrower’s school to confirm the student’s enrollment status, cost of attendance, and estimated federal financial aid assistance before the private student loan can be made. This legislation is similar to S.2184 sponsored by Sen. Durbin.

- **Promoting Homeownership and Community Investments by Student Borrowers Act** is a discussion draft that directs the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) to work with the CFPB and the Federal Housing Finance Agency (FHFA) to review the barriers to homeownership for borrowers with student loan debt and make recommendations for policy changes that will responsibly reduce or eliminate these barriers.

- **H.R. 3511, the Transform Student Debt to Home Equity Act** is a bill sponsored by Rep. Marcy Kaptur (D-OH), which would require HUD and FHFA to jointly establish a pilot demonstration program to provide, to eligible applicants with federal student loan debt, assistance in purchasing eligible properties.